Incidence, Distribution and Molecular Characterization of Pea Seed-borne Mosaic Virus (PSbMV) in Egypt
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ABSTRACT
Pea seed-borne mosaic virus is an important disease of pea crop and has a wide host range. The field survey was conducted in 2020 and 2021 in different locations of pea-growing fields of four governorates in Egypt to determine the incidence of the virus. Symptoms of virus infection were observed in 25.2 and 28.3 % of total surveyed fields at nine of ten regions in 2020 and 2021, respectively with an incidence ranged between 2 to 28.7%. Naturally infected pea leaves showed symptoms of severe mosaic, downward leaf rolling, vein banding, yellowing, and interveinal chlorosis. The isolated virus was identified based on the symptoms developed on diagnostic hosts, seed transmission, and molecular techniques. The seed transmission tests indicated that seed germination was affected by the virus, as it decreased the germination rate by 56%. The virus was transmitted through seeds where reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction was used to detect the virus in seedlings produced from infected seeds and the percentage of seed transmission was 18%. 335 bp of the potyvirus coat protein gene and 800 bp of nuclear inclusion protein (NIb) gene of the virus were amplified using a set of degenerate and specific primers, respectively. The two sets of primers succeeded to amplify the partially coat protein gene and 800 bp of the potyvirus coat protein gene and a portion of the NIb gene of the virus. The amplified product was successfully cloned and sequenced. Results of sequence analysis showed similarity ranging between 95-100% compared with twelve reported isolates of the virus. The Egyptian isolate of Pea seed-borne mosaic virus was submitted in the GenBank under the accession number ON075784.
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INTRODUCTION
Pea (Pisum sativum L.) is a food legume crop, in Egypt pea is grown in the winter season for local consumption and exportation. It is a source of protein for humans and livestock where the seeds contain a great amount of protein and carbohydrates (Abou El-Salehein et al. 2019). The total growing area is 4091.9 feddans with a production of 153233 tons (FAO, 2020). Pea plants are susceptible to many viruses which include 35 viruses (Hampton et al., 1984). Pea seed-borne mosaic virus (PSbMV; genus Potyvirus, family Potyviridae) which infects pea crops worldwide, is economically important due to its high rate of seed transmission and causes serious losses in peas yield and quality (Coutts et al. 2009). Many commercial cultivars of peas are susceptible to PSbMV, this susceptibility confirmed the fact that this virus is transmitted vertically through generations by seeds besides its transmission by aphid vectors in a non-persistent manner. PSbMV was initially reported under different names and discovered for the first time in Czechoslovakia by Musil (1966) then it was reported in 35 countries (Maury and Khetarpal 1992 and Makkouk et al., 1993). In Egypt, PSbMV has been detected in pea (Abdelmaksoud et al., 2000 and El-Banna et al., 2008) and in cowpea forage (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) (Kararah et al., 2014). PSbMV has filamentous particles and measures approximately 770 nm in length and 12 nm in width. It can induce the production of inclusion bodies that are pinwheel in shape and aggregate in the mesophyll cells (Hampton et al., 1981; Wang et al., 1991 and Makkouk et al., 1993). PSbMV can infect host range limited to the Fabaceae family such as pea (Pisum sativum), faba bean (Vicia faba), lentil (Lens culinaris), chickpea (Cicer arietinum), and pasture legumes such as alfalfa (Medicago sativa) and vetch (Vicia spp.) (Makkouk et al. 2012; Gheshtlaghi et al. 2019; Almási et al. 2020). The virus causes a wide variety of symptoms depending...
on the virus isolate and host, such as downward leaf rolling, mild mosaic, vein clearing, vein banding, and mild stunting. Seed coat cracking, seed discoloration, shrunknean seeds, and the reduced size of the seeds are also observed due to PSbMV infection (Šafářová et al. 2008; Congdon et al. 2017; Gheslaghi et al. 2019; Almási et al. 2020). The presence of symptoms on the seed coat of field peas is not an indicator of PSbMV infection within the embryo of the seed (Astier et al. 2007; Khetarpal and Maury 1987; Latham and Jones 2001). The viral genome is monopartite consisting of positive-sense single-stranded RNA of 9924 nucleotides, while the viral transcriptome consists of 9618 nucleotides coding for a polyprotein of 364 KDa that is further divided into nine functional proteins (Johansen et al. 1991; Makkouk et al. 2012). The coat protein (CP) of PSbMV is responsible for the encapsulation of the viral genome (Andersen and Johansen 1998). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays are the most common method for PSbMV detection and RT-PCR assays are also available to reliably detect PSbMV (van der Vlugt et al. 1999; Safarova et al. 2014). Several pathotypes of PSbMV have been distinguished based on molecular genetic analysis of the viral genome (Johansen 1996; Hjulsager et al. 2002; Giakountis et al. 2015). Especially the genes encoding the CP (Wylie et al. 2011). Four pathotypes of PSbMV were distinguished by their ability to overcome sbm resistance genes present in differential host pea lines (Johansen et al. 1991 and Cerna et al. 2017). This study aimed to determine the incidence of pea seed-borne mosaic virus associated with pea plants, evaluate the rate of seed transmission, identification, and molecular characterization of the isolated virus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Incidence of PSbMV in pea fields:**

To determine the distribution of PSbMV, a field survey was conducted in different locations of four governorates in Egypt (Fayoum, Beni Suef, Qalyubia, and Menoufia) in 2020 and 2021 as presented in Table (1). One hundred and ninety-nine of pea fields from ten locations of the major pea growing areas in four governorates were surveyed and samples were collected through the flowering and fruiting stages based on visual symptoms related to the PSbMV virus. All collected samples were placed in polythene bags, stored at 4°C, and tested for the virus infection through RT-PCR.

The incidence of symptoms expression (percentage of plants with PSbMV symptoms) was estimated for each field by visual inspection of 100 plants following a W pattern (crossing the rows) as described by Fletcher (1993). Disease incidence was also estimated based on RT-PCR as the percentage of virus-positive samples relative to the total number of tested samples.

**Plant material, sap inoculation, and identification:**

Samples of pea leaves showing virus-related symptoms (Fig. 1) were collected from different locations in four governorates (mentioned before) during the 2020 and 2021 growing seasons. The collected leaf samples were checked by RT-PCR assay. Samples of pea leaves that gave positive results with RT-PCR were used for virus isolation and propagation on pea seedlings by mechanical inoculation. The single local lesions technique on Chenopodium amaranticolor leaves described by Kuhn (1964) was used for the biological purification of the virus. The resulting single local lesion on Ch. amaranticolor was used to propagate the virus on pea plants as a source of the virus. All host species were mechanically inoculated using the sap of PSbMV infected pea leaves after grinding leaf tissues with sterilized pestles and mortars in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2, at a ratio of 1:2 (tissue weight: buffer volume). The inoculated plants were observed for symptoms development after virus inoculation. Healthy plants were inoculated with the buffer used as negative controls. The results were confirmed by RT-PCR using specific PSbMV primers.

**Host range and diagnostic host reactions:**

A total of nineteen plant species were selected as host range and diagnostic hosts belonging to five families, Chenopodiaceae, Fabaceae, Cucurbitaceae, Solanaceae, and Brassicaceae were mechanically inoculated and kept in an insect proof greenhouse. These hosts included Ch. amaranticolor, Ch. quinoa, Ch. album, Lens culinaris, Pisum sativum, Cicer arietinum, Lathyrus annuus, Phaseolus vulgaris, Vigna unguiculata, Vicia faba, Cucumis sativus, Curcubita pepo, Cucumis melo, Gomphrena globosa, Nicotiana tabacum, Nicotiana glutinosa, Lycopersicon esculentum, Brassica oleracea. L. var. botrytis and Brassica oleracea var. capitata. The inoculated plants were examined daily up to 35 days for symptoms development under greenhouse conditions.

**Transmission through pea seeds:**

Around 100 seeds of pea (Pisum sativum cv Balady) were sown in a plastic house where the
Temperature ranged from 20-25°C. After two weeks from sowing, pea seedlings were mechanically inoculated with PShBMV and 30 plants were left uninoculated and served as healthy control. The inoculated plants were kept in the greenhouse. After two weeks from inoculation, the presence of the virus in pea plants was checked by RT-PCR. Plants that gave positive reactions were labeled and kept till seed maturity and checked for virus presence. After maturity, healthy and infected plants were harvested, and their seeds were collected for replanting in pots (25 cm diameter) containing sterilizing soil. Seedlings were kept in the greenhouse. The germination rate was estimated for each healthy and infected seedling. The percentage of seed transmission was calculated according to Kararah et al. (2014) as several seedlings showing symptoms and gave positive reaction with PShBMV divided on the number of immerged seedlings x 100.

**Extraction of total RNA:**

RNA extraction from pea samples was carried out using Simply P Total RNA Extraction Kit (Bio Flux, Cat # BSC5251) according to the manufacturer instructions.

**Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR):**

In this investigation, two sets of primers were used: First, the sense primer U335: (5’ GAAATCATGRTNNTGGTGATHGANAAYG G 3’) and the antisense primer D335: (5’ GAGCTCGCNGYTTCATYTGNNRHDWKNGC 3’) were used to amplify 335 bp of the potyvirus coat protein (Langeveld et al. 1991). Using the Verso TM one-step RT-PCR kit, the extracted RNA was employed as a template for a one-tube RT-PCR amplification experiment (Thermo Scientific). RT-PCR was carried out in a 25 µL total volume including 4.75 µL of nuclease-free water, 3 ng/L total RNA, 12.5 µL of one-step PCR master mix, 3 µL of 10 mM primers, 0.5 µL of Verso enzyme mix, and 1.25 µL of RT-Enhancer. The RT reaction began with 15 min of incubation at 50°C, followed by 2 min of denaturation at 95°C, followed by PCR with initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min and 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 54°C, extension at 72°C for 5 min, and one cycle of final extension at 72°C.

**Molecular cloning and nucleotide sequence analysis:**

pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega) was used to ligate the PCR product that obtained using the degenerate primers (U335/D335) and the transformed recombinant plasmids into E. coli strain DH5α were produced. White colonies were selected for DNA isolation, then the DNA was digested with EcoRI, and fractionated on 1% agarose gels. The nucleotide sequence of clones having expected inserts were selected for dyeexy sequencing with ABI 377XL automated DNA sequencing instrument, using 36 cm well to read plates and a 5% Long Ranger (FMC) acrylamide gel. Data were analyzed using ABI™ version 3.0 of Sequencing Analysis. All sequencing procedures were completed in Macrogen Company (South Korea). The nucleotide sequence of PShBMV was compared and evaluated with those of PShBMV isolates in GenBank using DNAMan 8 Sequence Analysis Software (Lynnon BioSoft, Canada).

**RESULTS**

**Disease incidence:**

To determine the occurrence and distribution of PShBMV, surveys were conducted in 2020 and 2021 in different locations of some pea-growing fields in Egypt (mentioned before). In 2020, symptoms of PShBMV infection were observed in 25.2% of total pea surveyed fields at nine of ten regions as presented in table (1). The percentage of incidence varied greatly not only between regions but also between fields in the same region where the incidence ranged between 2 to 27.5% but this percentage reached 35% in two farms in Tamiya region of Fayoum governorate. Fields in which pea plants exhibited symptoms in 2021 growing season represented 28.32 % of total visited fields with an incidence of 2 to 28.7 % as indicated in Table (1).
Table (1): Occurrence of Pea Seed-borne Mosaic Virus (PSbMV) in pea fields in four governorates during 2020 and 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governorates</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Fields with symptomatic plants/total</th>
<th>Symptomatic samples/total</th>
<th>Incidence percentage (%) based on visual symptoms</th>
<th>PCR detection positive samples/total</th>
<th>Observed symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fayoum</td>
<td>Tamiya</td>
<td>3/12/4/10</td>
<td>10/300 18/400</td>
<td>3.3 4.5</td>
<td>11/30</td>
<td>M, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Itsa</td>
<td>6/15/5/12</td>
<td>150/600 137/500</td>
<td>25 27.4</td>
<td>SM, LR,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senours</td>
<td>2/10/3/10</td>
<td>45/200 47/300</td>
<td>22.5 15.6</td>
<td>Y, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/10/3/12</td>
<td>2/100 17/300</td>
<td>2 5.7</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beni Suef</td>
<td>Sedmant</td>
<td>4/10/3/8</td>
<td>110/400 86/300</td>
<td>27.5 28.7</td>
<td>15/22</td>
<td>SM, LR, S, VB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beba</td>
<td>2/15/1/10</td>
<td>8/200 2/100</td>
<td>2.5 2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qalyubia</td>
<td>Kanater</td>
<td>3/8/2/8</td>
<td>16/300 18/200</td>
<td>5.3 9</td>
<td>M, LR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kaha</td>
<td>0/7/1/10</td>
<td>0/700 2/100</td>
<td>0.0 2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menoufia</td>
<td>Menoufia</td>
<td>4/10/3/9</td>
<td>75/400 62/300</td>
<td>18.7 20.7</td>
<td>9/18</td>
<td>SM, VC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quesna</td>
<td>1/6/2/7</td>
<td>65/600 25/200</td>
<td>10.8 12.5</td>
<td>MM, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: mosaic; S: stunting; SM: severe mosaic; LR: leaf rolling; Y: yellowing; VB: vein banding; NS: no symptoms; VC: vein clearing; MM: mild mosaic.

**Visual symptoms, isolation, and identification of PSbMV:**

Naturally infected pea leaves with PSbMV showed symptoms of severe mosaic, downward leaf rolling (Fig. 1A) vein banding, interveinal chlorosis (Fig. 1B), and yellowing (Fig. 1C) compared to healthy ones (Fig. 1D). Also, seeds showed discoloration, deformation, and reduced size (Fig. 1E) and crack of the seed coat (Fig. 1F) when compared with healthy seeds (Fig. 1G). The virus was successfully isolated from naturally PSbMV-infected pea plants which were collected from four governorates and propagated on *Pisum sativum* by mechanical inoculation. Forty-two out of ninety naturally infected samples with PSbMV gave a positive reaction with RT-PCR at the expected size.

---

**Fig. (1):** Symptoms of Pea Seed-borne Mosaic Virus (PSbMV) on naturally infected pea plants. (A): severe mosaic and downward leaf rolling; (B): vein banding and interveinal chlorosis; (C): yellowing; (D): healthy pea plants; (E): seeds showing discoloration, deformation, and reduced size; (F): seed coat cracking, and (G): healthy seeds.
Diagnostic host reactions:
The reaction of diagnostic hosts to virus infection is shown in figure (2) and summarized in table (2). Mechanically inoculated plants induced various symptoms expression including mild mosaic, severe mosaic, vein banding, downward leaf rolling, and necrotic or chlorotic local lesions. Some hosts showed no symptoms but gave a positive reaction when detected by RT-PCR (symptomless). Responses of diagnostic hosts can be divided into four categories: (1) Hosts gave only local symptoms (chlorotic or necrotic lesions) on *Ch. amaranticolor*, *Ch. quinoa*. (2) Hosts gave only systemic symptoms: *Pisum sativum*, *Vicia faba*, *Cicer arietinum*, *Vigna unguiculata*, *Lens culinaris*, *Lathyrus annuus*, and *Phaseolus vulgaris* (3) Hosts didn’t show symptoms (symptomless hosts), but the presence of PSbMV in these plants was detected by RT-PCR: *Nicotiana tabacum*, and *Gomphrena globosa*. (4) Hosts didn’t show any symptoms of PSbMV and gave negative reaction with RT-PCR: *Beta vulgaris*, *Cucumis melo*, *Cucurbita pepo*, *Cucurbita moschata*, *Nicotiana glutinosa*, *Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis* and *Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata*.

Fig. (2): Symptoms of Pea Seed-borne Mosaic Virus (PSbMV) as a result of responses of some diagnostic host plants for the mechanical inoculation. (A): chlorotic local lesions in the inoculated *Chenopodium amaranticolor* leaf; (B): severe mosaic on *Vigna unguiculata*; (C and E): severe mosaic, downward leaf rolling and vein banding on *Pisum sativum*; (D and F): systemic mild mosaic on *Vicia faba* and *Phaseolus vulgaris* respectively.
Table (2): External symptoms of PSbMV on diagnostic hosts after mechanical inoculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Families</th>
<th>Test plants</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Chenopodium quinoa</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chenopodium amaranticolor</td>
<td>CLL</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta vulgaris</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cicer arietinum</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lens culinaris</td>
<td>M, VC</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pisum sativum</td>
<td>VB, MM, SM, LR</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Vicia faba</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lathyrus annuus</td>
<td>M, Y</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phaseolus vulgaris</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vigna unguiculata</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td>Cucurbita pepo</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cucumis melo</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cucurbita pepo</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cucurbita moschatia</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanaceae</td>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gomphrena globosa</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotiana glutinosa</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lycopersicon esculentum</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td>Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brassica oleracea L. var. botryts</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLL: chlorotic local lesions; NS: no symptoms; M: mosaic; VB: vein banding; MM: mild mosaic; SM: severe mosaic; LR: leaf rolling; Y: yellowing.

Seed transmission:

The seed transmission results indicated that, seed germination was significantly affected by PSbMV, as seed germination was decreased by 56% compared with healthy ones. The virus was transmitted through pea seeds where the seedlings raised from the infected seeds were detected by R-TPCR and the percentage of seed transmission was 18%.

One-step RT-PCR and cloning:

Pea samples collected from growing areas (mentioned before) were subjected to RT-PCR assays. RT-PCR was carried out to amplify ~335 bp of the coat protein gene of potyvirus using a set of degenerate primers (U335/D335) and ~800 bp of Nib gene of PSbMV using a set of specific primers (PSbMV-F/PSbMV-R) as described above (Fig. 3). Those two sets of primers succeeded to amplify the expected size bands for the partially coat protein gene of potyvirus and partially Nib gene of PSbMV. RT-PCR product that obtained using the degenerate primers (U335/D335) was successfully inserted into pGEM-T Easy vector and the recombinant plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α strain. Recombinant plasmids were isolated successfully from different colonies using Wizard Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System. Digestion with restriction enzyme EcoRI and fractionation on 1.5% agarose gel in 0.5X TBE buffer was done ending with positive results.

Nucleotide sequencing:

The partial coat protein gene of PSbMV that obtained using the degenerate primers (U335/D335) was sequenced in Macrogen Company (South Korea). The sequenced partially coat protein gene was used in phylogenetic analysis using the Optimal
Alignment Method of DNAMAN 8 software (Lynnon BioSoft) to study the relationship between the PSbMV isolate used in this study and those isolates available in GenBank. Sequence comparisons showed similarity ranging between 95-100% of the twelve reported isolates of PSbMV with the Egyptian isolate. The results indicated that the highest sequence similarity was found between the Egyptian isolate (ON075784) and PSbMV isolates from Australia, Czech Republic, Greece, Germany, UK, Denmark, New Zealand, and USA at 100%, while the lowest sequence similarity was found with two isolates from Denmark and one from UK at 95% (Fig. 4).

**Fig. (4):** phylogenetic tree showing similarity percentage between PSbMV Egyptian isolate and reported isolates based on nucleotide sequences.

**DISCUSSION**

Pea seed-borne mosaic virus (PSbMV) is one of the most economically important seed-transmitted viruses infecting pea plants. The exchange of infected germplasm material causes the worldwide spread of the virus (Maury and Khetarpal 1992; Makkouk et al. 1993; Jones 2004; Kararah et al. 2014; Gheshlaghi et al. 2019; Almási et al. 2020). In the present study, surveys were conducted in two successive seasons, during 2020 and 2021 in different locations of pea-growing fields in Egypt to determine the incidence of PSbMV and understand its epidemiology in our country. Results of the incidence indicated that PSbMV infection was widespread in pea-growing fields where, in 2020, symptoms of PSbMV infection were observed in 25.2% of total pea surveyed fields at nine of ten regions with a percentage of an incidence ranging between 2 to 27.5% while this percentage increased in 2021. Incidence of PSbMV infection in two farms of Fayoum governorate was greater than 30% because these farms used pea seeds produced in the previous year in cultivation and the spread of aphids, which increase the percentage of virus infection. PSbMV survival between seasons depends on seeds as the main reservoir (Ali and Randles 1997). The incidence of PSbMV was varied greatly between regions and this is in agreement with Ali and Randles (1997). The incidence and field spread of PSbMV in sampled crops depend on seed source, variety, locality, and active aphid vectors (Jones 2004). The seed transmission of PSbMV plays an important role in the early spread of the virus before aphid arrival (Congdon et al. 2016). Many pathotypes of the virus have been reported based on the symptom’s reaction of hosts to PSbMV (Makkouk et al. 1993; Ali and Randles 1998; Chatzivassiliou et al. 2016; Congdon et al. 2017; Gheshlaghi et al. 2019). Mechanically inoculated plants induced various symptoms expression including systemic symptoms such as mild mosaic, severe mosaic, vein banding, downward leaf rolling, and necrotic or chlorotic local lesions. Some hosts showed no symptoms but gave a positive reaction when detected by RT-PCR (symptomless). This might be due to the low titer of the virus in these plant species. Host reactions obtained in this study were similar to those reported by (Makkouk et al. 1993; Congdon et al. 2017; Gheshlaghi et al. 2019). On other hand, our finding revealed that some of the hosts differed in their reactions from those previously reported. In this study, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. reacted systemically with PSbMV and gave mild mosaic. These findings are in line with those of Almási et al. (2020) who reported that *Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. Maxidors gave strong systemic symptoms with mosaic when mechanically inoculated with PSbMV and gave mild mosaic. These findings are in line with those of Almási et al. (2020) who reported that *Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. Maxidors gave strong systemic symptoms with mosaic when mechanically inoculated with PSbMV.
reported that, seed transmission of the virus in lentil at a range of 0.2-44% and in pea at a range of 0.3-80%. Abraham and Makkouk (2002) found that the transmission of PSbMV through seeds reached 17% in 31% of the lots when examining 270 lentil seed lots. Two sets of primers used in this study succeeded to amplify the expected size bands for the partially coat protein gene of a potyvirus and a portion of the Nlb gene of PSbMV. The comparison between the PSbMV Egyptian isolate and those isolates available in GenBank is based on the Sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the partial coat protein gene of PSbMV. The results showed the percentage of similarity ranged from 95 to 100% of the twelve reported isolates of PSbMV with the Egyptian isolate (Accession Number: ON075784). Based on resistance trials, the most common strains of PSbMV were divided into four pathotypes: (P-1, P-2 or L-1, P-3, and P-4) and they are linked to known resistance genes (sbm1, sbm2, sbm3, and sbm4) of pea differential lines (Makkouk et al. 2014; Giakountis et al. 2015). Phylogenetic analysis for the nucleotide sequence of partial coat protein confirms the identity of PSbMV. BLAST involved the Egyptian isolate in a distinct cluster from Denmark and UK isolates and in the same cluster with Australia, Czech Republic, Greece, Germany, UK, Denmark, New Zealand, and USA isolates. According to our phylogenetic analysis, the PSbMV Egyptian isolate can be classified as the pathotype P-1 (Giakountis et al. 2015; Gheshlaghi et al. 2017; Almási et al. 2020).

Our study findings provide important information about the incidence of PSbMV and determine its epidemiology in different locations of our country. This study also clarified the molecular characteristics of the PSbMV Egyptian isolate. We recommend for future survey which could help in studying the genetic diversity of PSbMV.

CONCLUSION

In the current study, the authors concluded that symptoms of PSbMV infection were observed in 25.2% and 28.32% of total pea surveyed fields in 2020 and 2021 respectively. The seed germination was significantly affected by PSbMV, as it decreased the germination rate by 56%. The virus was transmitted through seeds where the seedlings raised from the infected seeds were checked by R-TPCR and the percentage of seed transmission was 18%. The two sets of primers used in this study succeeded to amplify the expected size bands for the partially coat protein gene of a potyvirus and a portion of the Nlb gene of PSbMV. The partial coat protein gene of PSbMV was submitted to GenBank under the accession number ON075784. The sequence comparisons showed the percentage of similarity ranged from 95-100% of twelve reported isolates of PSbMV with the Egyptian isolate of PSbMV.
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